

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFIGE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/665,452	09/19/2000	Manfred Meintker	GR 98 P 3185	5814
7	7590 05/23/2003			
Lerner And Greenberg PA Post Office Box 2480 Hollywood, FL 33022-2480			EXAMINER	
			KEITH, JACK W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3641	
			DATE MAILED: 05/23/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/665,452

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Jack Keith

Art Unit 3641

Meintker

The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ers on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (e). mailing date of this communication. 	In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply with	bly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
Status				
1) 🔀 Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 9,	2003			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This a	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowanc closed in accordance with the practice under Ex.	e except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-19</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>8-19</u>	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-7</u>	is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.			
	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examine				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl	y to this Office action.			
2) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	eve been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bur *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of t				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti	•			
a) The translation of the foreign language provision	ĺ			
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/665,452

Art Unit: 3641

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

The request filed on 5/9/2003 for a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 1. CFR 1.114 based on parent Application No. 09/665,452 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/9/2003 have been fully considered, but are not persuasive. 2. The 102(b) rejection of Paper no. 13 is maintained, but has been expounded upon below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1 and 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject 3. matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

As set forth in the claim language there is no indication of the actual flow required to (either the first or second fluid flows) operate the transport device. That is the fluid flow out of either one the vessels (first or second) into the connecting element could be zero. Accordingly, the claim language is not commensurate with the scope of applicant's invention.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/665,452 Page 3

Art Unit: 3641

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1 and 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding the fluid flow from the first or second vessels flowing into the connecting element the claim language is vague and indefinite. That is there is no indication that fluid flow is required. Zero flow is supported by the language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1 and 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Desmarchais et al (3,294,453).

Desmarchais discloses applicant's inventive concept. A method for transporting a nuclear fuel element (22) from a reactor pit (24) to a storage pond (30). The method comprising: providing a fluid filled first vessel [reactor pit] and a fluid filled second vessel [storage

Art Unit: 3641

pond], the interiors of which are connected by connecting element (32). The connecting element [transfer pipe] having a first part [flange] facing the first vessel (68) and a second part [flange] facing the second vessel (not labeled) and a transport device (96) for moving the fuel element through the connecting element;

maintaining a fluid flow out of the first vessel into the first part of the connecting element;

maintaining a fluid flow out of the second vessel into the second part of the connecting element; and

transporting the fuel element through the connecting element with the fluid flow being maintained.

Referring to figure 2 and column 6, lines 21+ in operation valves (130) and (132) are opened. This allows water from the storage pond (second vessel) to flow (fluid 30) from valve (152) via static pressure head through conduits (134, 136) to valve (130) providing the flow intensity to move the transport device within the connecting element while the fluid within the connecting element having the same flow intensity is discharged to holding tank (138) via valve (132). Here the first fluid flow (fluid 24) is zero will the fuel element is transported through the connecting element.

Note that per figure 2 the static head of the reactor pit (first vessel) and storage pond (second vessel) are at essentially identical static head pressures before the first and second fluid

Application/Control Number: 09/665,452 Page 5

Art Unit: 3641

flows are generated. That is both are open to the same atmospheric pressure maintained within enclosure (14).

While patent drawings are not drawn to scale, relationships clearly shown in the drawings of a reference patent cannot be disregarded in determining the patentability of claims. See <u>In re</u>
Mraz, 59 CCPA 866, 455 F.2d 1069, 173 USPQ 25 (1972).

In regard to claim 6 Desmarchais supplies a first fluid in the reactor pit having a first flow intensity and a second fluid in the storage pond having a second flow intensity. While in operation as set forth above the second fluid flow intensity would be static head pressure while the first fluid flow intensity would be zero. Accordingly, the discharge fluid from the connecting element would be the sum of the first and second fluid flow intensities.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack Keith whose telephone number is (703) 306-5752. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone, can be reached on (703) 306-4198. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7687.

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Jack Keith Examiner, Art Unit 3641

jwk

May 21, 2003